“This outstanding volume brings the contemporary debates of bioethics to life. Thoughtful introductions to contextualize each topic combined with interactive debates result in an outstanding and unique resource.”

David Magnus, Director, Center for Biomedical Ethics, Stanford University

“I savor controversies and debates and this riveting new book has 15 great ones from the field of bioethics, debates that transcend academic disputes to engage the public, public intellectuals, and the Supreme Court on topics like assisted suicide, gene patenting, human cloning, and health reform.”

Mark Siegler, University of Chicago

Modern advances in medicine and biology are accompanied by an increasingly complex swirl of ethical dilemmas and debates. Contemporary Debates in Bioethics features a collection of divergent arguments contributed by today’s top bioethics scholars that focus on core bioethical concerns of the twenty-first century. After presenting highly accessible introductions to specific issues, chapters proceed to tackle each side of such topical concerns as international medical research, human cloning, markets in human organs, abortion, gene and embryo copyrighting, physician-assisted suicide, stem-cell research, primate research, biomedical enhancement, and more. Provocative and timely, Contemporary Debates in Bioethics introduces a variety of perspectives that allow readers at all levels to gain critical insights and a deeper understanding of some of the most controversial and important issues of our day.
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